

# Lake Havasu City - Organization ARPA Funds Scoring Rubric for Grant Proposals

The grant proposal scoring rubric will be used to evaluate the grant applications submitted for consideration. Applications will be reviewed by Community Resource Coalition appointed by Lake Havasu City Council. The committee will use this rubric to guide its deliberations.

## SCORING DEFINITIONS

- Minimally Addressed or Does Not Meet Criteria - information not provided
- Met Some but Not All Identified Criteria - requires additional clarification
- Addressed Criteria but Did Not Provide Thorough Detail - adequate response, but not thoroughly developed or high-quality response
- Met All Criteria with High Quality - clear, concise, and coherent response

	Organizational Points	Total Points
Project Fit With Council Priority		
Demonstration of Community Need:	0	20
Sustainability:	0	15
Program Evaluation:	0	25
Organization and Budget:	0	15
Partnerships, Coordination, and Innovation:	0	25
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>

**GENERAL COMMENTS:** Indicate support for scoring by including overall strengths and weaknesses. These comments will be provided to applicants with their final scores.

**Applicant:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Amount Requested:** \_\_\_\_\_

Project Fit With Council Priority of ARPA Funds	Yes			No	
1) Are the goals and activities of the proposal aligned with the health of our citizens and quality of life in the areas of food, housing, medical services, agency services, childcare, senior adult care, senior services, or other social services needed?					

Demonstration of Community Need:	Minimally Addressed or Does Not Meet Criteria	Met Some but Not All Identified Criteria	Addressed Criteria but Did Not Provide Thorough Detail	Met All Criteria with High Quality	Score
2) Does the applicant identify the community need for the proposal in Lake Havasu City?	0	2	4	6	

**Applicant:**

3) Does the applicant's proposal respond to and propose to meet the need identified?	0	3	5	7	
4) Does the applicant demonstrate how the population served has been negatively impacted by COVID-19?	0	3	5	7	
<b>Reviewer Comments:</b>					
<b>Total out of 20</b>					<b>0</b>

<b>Sustainability:</b>	Minimally Addressed or Does Not Meet Criteria	Met Some but Not All Identified Criteria	Addressed Criteria but Did Not Provide Thorough Detail	Met All Criteria with High Quality	Score
5) Does the applicant identify how the proposal will be sustained after the grant period?	0	1	2	4	
6) Does the applicant identify donors or sources of funds that can leverage the grant funds and help ensure the proposal is sustainable beyond the grant period?	0	1	2	4	
7) Does the proposal have support from community organizations?	0	1	3	7	
<b>Reviewer Comments:</b>					
<b>Total out of 15</b>					<b>0</b>

<b>Program Evaluation:</b>	Minimally Addressed or Does Not Meet Criteria	Met Some but Not All Identified Criteria	Addressed Criteria but Did Not Provide Thorough Detail	Met All Criteria with High Quality	Score
8) Does the applicant provide measurable goals, performance benchmarks, and desired outcomes?	0	1	3	5	
9) Does the applicant demonstrate the Lake Havasu geographic distribution of services?	0	1	2	4	
10) Does the applicant demonstrate the program cost compared with number of people served?	0	1	2	4	
11) Does the applicant identify that the proposal will be effective at improving and or addressing the community's needs?	0	1	3	7	
12) Does applicant explain how they will use data to guide decision-making and measure effectiveness of the proposal?	0	1	3	5	
<b>Reviewer Comments:</b>					
<b>Total out of 25</b>					<b>0</b>

**Applicant:**

<b>Organization and Budget</b>	Minimally Addressed or Does Not Meet Criteria	Met Some but Not All Identified Criteria	Addressed Criteria but Did Not Provide Thorough Detail	Met All Criteria with High Quality	Score
13) Is the applicant established and have a defined mission and vision?	0	1	3	5	
14) Does the applicant demonstrate capable leadership, employees, and/or board or management?	0	1	3	5	
15) Does the applicant demonstrate it is financially stable, with systems in place for effective oversight?	0	1	3	5	
<b>Reviewer Comments:</b>					
<b>Total out of 15</b>					0

<b>Partnerships, Coordination, and Innovation:</b>	Minimally Addressed or Does Not Meet Criteria	Met Some but Not All Identified Criteria	Addressed Criteria but Did Not Provide Thorough Detail	Met All Criteria with High Quality	Score
16) Does the proposal support innovative and locally driven solutions to respond to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic?	0	4	6	8	
17) Does the proposal coordinate and/or collaborate with specific organizations in the same or related fields?	0	3	5	9	
18) Does the proposal represent fundamentally different from what is already occurring to assist and support Lake Havasu City residents?	0	4	6	8	
<b>Reviewer Comments:</b>					
<b>Total out of 25</b>					0